

SECTION M - EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD**M.1 Basis for Award**

Selection of vendors with whom the Probation/Pretrial Services Office will establish BPA's will be based on technical acceptability and the lowest price to the Government. If the solicitation document identifies that BPA's will be established with a specified number of vendors, the selection of technically acceptable vendors shall be based on price. For example, if a solicitation document identifies that 4 to 6 vendors are needed to provide services and 10 vendors are determined to be technically acceptable, awards will be made to no more than 6 of the lowest priced vendors.

M.2 Evaluation of Proposals

- a. To be acceptable and eligible for evaluation, proposals shall be prepared in accordance with the instructions given in Sections B and L of this solicitation document.
- b. By submission of a proposal, the offeror accepts all the terms and conditions of the RFP. Proposals that take exception to the terms and conditions will be determined technically unacceptable and the offeror will be so advised.
- c. Proposals will be evaluated to be considered Technically Acceptable using the following Pass/Fail Criteria. To determine that the offeror has met the following criteria, each proposal shall be evaluated to determine that every individual requirement has been met.

M.3 Pass-Fail Criteria

The following criteria address the offeror's ability to perform and comply with all the mandatory service requirements set forth in the Request For Proposals. Offerors who do not meet these requirements will be deemed to be technically unacceptable and will receive no further consideration. The offeror(s) will be so advised. Proposed subcontractor personnel qualifications and facilities will be evaluated and considered in the determination of the offeror's technical acceptability. The review of the criteria shall be based on the Offeror's Technical Proposal, which contains the Offeror's Certification of Compliance, Offeror's Background Statement, and the Offeror's Staff Qualifications. Each of these shall demonstrate how the offeror will perform/meet the requirements of the RFP.

MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS:

- (a) Did the Offeror submit a statement certifying that it will provide the mandatory requirements stated in Sections C, E, F and G and all services in strict compliance with the requirements, terms, and conditions of the RFP. This requirement

includes submission of compliance statements for each subcontractor that will be providing services.

YES or NO

PAST PERFORMANCE

(a) Did the Offeror provide copies of all federal, state and local monitoring reports, letters, and/or federal, state, and local certificates for the previous 18 months? YES or NO

(b) Monitoring reports, letters, and/or certificates are rated at least "satisfactory" or "pass" regarding performance.

OR

If any monitoring report completed for the previous 18 months was rated less than "satisfactory," the deficiencies were corrected as documented on the subsequent monitoring report, resulting in the subsequent report being rated "satisfactory."

YES or NO

SITE(S) AT WHICH SERVICES ARE PROVIDED:

(a) Offeror's (and any proposed subcontractor) site(s) at which services will be provided is/are located in catchment area. YES or NO

(b) Offeror has provided copies of applicable business and/or operating license(s). YES or NO

(c) Offeror has provided copies of compliance with all federal, state and local fire, safety, and health codes. YES or NO

STAFF QUALIFICATIONS:

(a) Offeror (and any proposed subcontractor) meets all minimum staff requirements listed in Section C of the RFP. YES or NO

(b) The Offeror's Staff Qualifications Statement certified that no staff member(s) (including proposed subcontractor staff) providing services under this Agreement are under investigation for or charged with a criminal offense and/or under pretrial, probation, parole, mandatory release or supervised release. YES or NO

- (c) The Offeror's Staff Qualifications Statement certified that no staff member(s) (including proposed subcontractor staff) providing services under this Agreement have been convicted of any sexual offense (including but not limited to child pornography offenses, child exploitation, sexual abuse, rape, or sexual assault) or are required under federal, state or local law to register on the Sexual Offender registry. YES or NO

ON-SITE VISITS

On site visits will be conducted for those offeror's whose proposals are determined technically acceptable based on the above stated criteria and meet the lowest price requirement. On site visits will be conducted to verify that the offeror's facility complies with the requirements of the RFP. There will be on-site evaluations for all subcontractors providing services.

- (a) Offeror's (and any proposed subcontractor) facility meets requirements listed in Statement of Work. YES or NO

M. 4 Evaluation of Price

The Government will determine Total Evaluated Price for required services by using the following formula:

- (a) Determining Total Evaluated Price.--Multiply the Estimate Monthly Quantity (EMQ) by 12 months to get a Yearly Quantity. Multiply that figure by the Unit Price offered to arrive at the Total Evaluated Price for that service item. Yearly prices of service items are totaled to arrive at Total Evaluated Price for each offeror.
- (b) Service items that are offered at "N/C" or No Charge, will be evaluated in the Life of Agreement comparison by entering \$0.00 for the unit price.
- (c) Service items that are reimbursable at actual prices or at a travel regulation rate are not considered in the price comparison.
- (d) Service items not marked as required services will not be evaluated or considered.
- (e) Total Evaluated Price (TEP) shall be rank ordered to show the lowest TEP.

M.5 Provision 2-85A Evaluation Inclusive of Options (JAN 2003)

- (a) The judiciary will evaluate offers for purposes of award by adding the total price for all options to the total price for the basic requirement. Evaluation of options does not obligate the judiciary to exercise the option(s).
- (b) Any offer that is materially unbalanced as to prices for basic and option quantities may be rejected. An unbalanced offer is one that is based on prices significantly less than prices for some work and prices that are significantly overstated for other work.

M.6 Clause 3-70 Determination of Responsibility (JAN 2003)

A determination of responsibility will be made on the apparent successful offeror prior to contract award. If the prospective contractor is found non-responsible, that offeror will be rejected and will receive no further consideration for award. In the event a contractor is rejected based on a determination of non-responsibility, a determination will be made on the next apparent successful offeror.